

# T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

# APPENDIX (10)

Evaluation by: Garvin Borge Date: 27th June 2017 Sheet No. 1 of 2

Address/ Site Details: T3 Lymhurst Newtown Land adjoining

Tree Ref	Species	DBH (mm)	Amenity Assessment			Sub	Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify	d - other factors	Exped lency	Score	TPO Y/N?	Notes
			a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility							
G1	Mixed Species		3	4	5	12		4	3	19	Y	
T1	Ash		3	5	3	11		1	3	15	X	
G2	3x Ash		3	3	2	10		1	3	14	Y	
T2	Ash		3	5	2	10		1	3	14	Y	
T3	Ash		3	5	2	10		1	3	14	Y	
T4	Ash		3	5	2	10		1	3	14	X	
T5	Ash		3	5	2	10		1	3	14	Y	
T6	Oak		3	5	3	11		1	3	15	Y	
T7	Silver Birch		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
T8	Silver Birch		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
T9	Ash		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
T10	Ash		3	4	3	10		1	3	14	Y	
T11	Sycamore		3	4	3	10		1	3	14	Y	
T12	Ash		3	4	3	10		1	3	14	Y	

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition**

- 5) Good (highly suitable)
- 4) Fair (suitable)
- 3) Poor (unlikely)
- 0) Unsafe
- 0) Dead

**c) Relative public visibility**

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (Vige=200sqm+)
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (lge=100-200sqm)
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
- 2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
- 1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Forseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Known as an actionable nuisance

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-11 Possibly merits TPO
- 12-15 Definitely merits TPO
- 16+

# T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

Evaluation by: Gustin Boyes Date: 27th June 2017

Sheet No. 2 of 2

Address/ Site Details: 73 Linthunt Newtown (land adjoining)

Tree Ref	Species	DBH (mm)	Amenity Assessment			Sub	Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify	d - other factors	Expediency	Score	TPO Y/N?	Notes
			a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility							
T13	Holly		3	5	4	10		1	3	14	Y	
T14	Holly		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
T15	Spanore		3	4	3	10		1	3	14	Y	
T16	Yew		3	5	2	10		1	3	14	Y	
T17	Willow		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
T18	Oak		3	5	2	10		1	3	14	Y	
T19	Apple		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
T												
G3	Mixed species group		5/3	4	4	11		1	3	15	Y	
G4	4x Apple		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
G5	3x Silver Birch		3	4	3	10		1	3	14	Y	
G6	1x Oak 1x Holly 1x Ash		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	
U1	Mixed species		3	4	2	9		1	3	13	Y	

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition**

- 5) Good (highly suitable)
- 4) Fair (suitable)
- 3) Poor (unlikely)
- 0) Unsafe
- 0) Dead

**c) Relative public visibility**

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V'age=200sqm+)
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (ige=100-200sqm)
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
- 2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
- 1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Known as an actionable nuisance

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-11 Does not merit TPO
- 12-15 Possibly merits TPO
- 16+ Definitely merits TPO

- b) Longevity
- 5) 100+
- 4) 40-100
- 2) 20-40 (suitable)
- 1) 10-20 (just suitable)
- 0) <10 (unsuitable)